Case: 4:20-cr-00082-HEA Doc. #: 19 Filed: 09/21/20 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 29

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America)
v.)
) Case No. 4:20-CR-082 HEA
MARQUISE JACKSON)
Defendant)

MARQUISE JACKSON	
Defendant)
ORDER OF I	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Part	I - Eligibility for Detention
Upon the	
☐ Motion of the Government attorn	ney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☐ Motion of the Government or Co	urt's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
•	detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fac	ct and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
-	er 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable on of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person conditions have been met:
(1) the defendant is charged with on	e of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
	tion of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
_	maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
	aximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
Controlled Substances Act (21	imum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act apter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph (a) described in subparagraphs (a)	has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs oh, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal ombination of such offenses; or
The state of the s	wise a crime of violence but involves:
The state of the s	session of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); on; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
·	en convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. ffense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise and
(3) the offense described in paragraph	ph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
	on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
· · · · ·	ears has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the	ne offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Page 1 of 3

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses: \Box (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment

Case: 4:20-cr-00082-HEA Doc. #: 19 Filed: 09/21/20 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 31 AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: The Court held a detention hearing on September 8, 2020. Defendant, his counsel and an Assistant United States Attorney all appeared by video conference. The Pretrial Services Report filed on September 9, 2020 is incorporated by reference. Defendant is charged in an indictment with being a felon in possession of a firearm. During the hearing, the United States argued that Defendant is a danger to the community because of his significant criminal history and because of the nature of the offense. Defendant argued for release, stating that he has a stable home, and he is not a flight risk. Defendant for Defendant and the Government were given the opportunity to provide supplemental briefing following the hearing. No supplemental briefing was filed. A review of the Report of Pretrial Services reveals that Defendant has 5 felony convictions and has been charged with three additional felonies in state court. After considering the Pretrial Services Report and arguments of counsel, I find by clear and convincing evidence that no conditions or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of the community and I find by a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. Therefore, the Government's motion for detention is granted. Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. 09/21/2020 /s/Nannette A. Baker Date:

United States Magistrate Judge